



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/572,799

03/22/2006

Dimitri Lederer

LEDE3001/JEK

4919

23364 7590 01/16/2009

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC
625 SLATERS LANE
FOURTH FLOOR
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176

EXAMINER

SLUTSKER, JULIA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2891

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/16/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/572,799	Applicant(s) LEDERER ET AL.	
	Examiner JULIA SLUTSKER	Art Unit 2891	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Julia Slutsker (Examiner). (3) Jeanne A. Di Grazio (Applicant's Representative).

(2) Asok Sarkar (Primary Examiner). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: January 15, 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 20-38.

Identification of prior art discussed: Aunerton-Herve, US 2003/0129780.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 112 rejections of claims 20, 21, 31, and 32 and possible amendments to the claims to overcome 112 rejections have been discussed. The claims 21 and 32 have been discussed in light of the prior art and possibility to overcome the prior art rejection. The arguments presented in Applicant's Respose of August 1, 2008 have been discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Asok K. Sarkar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2891	January 15, 2009
---	------------------